

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****Bureau of Land Management**

[UT-040-09-1150-00]; UTU-75173

**Realty Action; Utah****AGENCY:** Bureau of Land Management, Interior.**ACTION:** Notice of Realty Action, Recreation and Public Purposes (R&PP) Act Classification; Utah.**SUMMARY:** The following described public lands in Iron County, Utah have been examined and found suitable for conveyance under the provisions of the Recreation and Public Purposes Amendment Act of 1988 (Pub. L. 100-648). The land to be conveyed and the proposed patentee are as follows:*Patentee:* Iron County, Utah.*Location:* Salt Lake Meridian, Utah, Township 35 South, Range 12 West, Section 4, S $\frac{1}{2}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ ; and Section 9, NE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SE $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , N $\frac{1}{2}$ S $\frac{1}{2}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ , NW $\frac{1}{4}$ NW $\frac{1}{4}$ SW $\frac{1}{4}$ NE, containing 275 acres.

These lands are hereby segregated from all forms of appropriation under the public land laws, including the mining laws.

Iron County proposes to use the land for the development of a public shooting range. The land is not needed for Federal purposes. Conveyance is consistent with current BLM land use planning and would be in the public interest.

The patent, when issued, will be subject to the following terms, conditions, and reservations.

1. All minerals, including oil and gas, shall be reserved to the United States, together with the right to prospect for, mine, and remove the minerals. The Secretary of Interior reserves the right to determine whether such mining and removal of minerals will interfere with the development, operation, and maintenance of the shooting range.

2. A right-of-way will be reserved for ditches and canals constructed by the authority of the United States (Act of August 30, 1890, 26 Stat. 391; 43 U.S.C. 945).

3. The conveyance will be subject to all valid existing rights.

4. The patentees assume all liability for and shall defend, indemnify, and save harmless the United States and its officers, agents, representatives, and employees (hereinafter referred to as the United States), from all claims, loss, damage, actions, causes of action, expense, and liability resulting from, brought for, or on account of, any personal injury, threat of personal injury, or property damage received or

sustained by any person or persons (including the patentee's employees) or property growing out of, occurring, or attributable directly or indirectly to the disposal of solid waste on, or the release of hazardous substances from the above listed tracts, regardless of whether such claims shall be attributable to: (1) the concurrent, contributory, or partial fault, failure, or negligence of the United States, or (2) the sole fault, failure, or negligence of the United States.

5. Title shall revert to the United States upon a finding, after notice and opportunity for a hearing, that the patentee has not substantially developed the lands in accordance with the approved plan of development on or before the date five years after the date of conveyance. No portion of the land shall under any circumstance revert to the United States if any such portion has been used for solid waste disposal, or for any other purpose which may result in the disposal, placement, or release of any hazardous substance.

6. If, at any time, the patentee transfers to another party ownership of any portion of the land not used for the purpose(s) specified in the application and approved plan of development, the patentee shall pay the Bureau of Land Management the fair market value, as determined by the authorized officer, of the transferred portion as of the date of transfer, including the value of any improvements thereon.

**DATES:** Interested persons may submit comments regarding the proposed conveyance of the land to the District Manager, Cedar City District Office, 176 D.L. Sargent Drive, Cedar City, Utah 84720. Comments will be accepted until April 5, 1999.

**Application Comments**

Interested parties may submit comments regarding the specific use proposed in the application and plan of development, whether the BLM followed proper administrative procedures in reaching the decision, or any other factor not directly related to the suitability of the land for the construction of a shooting range.

Any adverse comments will be reviewed by the State Director who may vacate or modify this realty action and issue a final determination. In the absence of any adverse comments, this notice will become the final determination of the Department of Interior on April 20, 1999.

**FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:** Detailed information concerning this action is available for review at the Cedar City Field Office by contacting Ervin Larsen, 176 East D.L. Sargent Drive, Cedar City, Utah 84720, or telephone (435) 865-3081.

Dated: February 12, 1999.

**Paul W. Swapp,***Acting District Manager.*

[FR Doc. 99-4144 Filed 2-18-99; 8:45 am]

BILLING CODE 4310-09-M

**DEPARTMENT OF THE INTERIOR****National Park Service****Environmental Statements; Availability, Etc. Stones River National Battlefield, TN****AGENCY:** National Park Service, Stones River National Battlefield, Tennessee, DOI.**ACTION:** Notice of Availability for Final General Management Plan/Development Concept Plan/Environmental Impact Statement for Stones River National Battlefield.

**SUMMARY:** This Final General Management Plan/Development Concept Plan/Environmental Impact Statement (FGMP/DCP/EIS) describes three alternatives for cultural and natural resource protection and management, visitor use and interpretation and related facilities development at Stones River National Battlefield. Alternative 1, the National Park Service's (NPS) proposed action, would preserve a larger area of the battlefield that has retained historic landscape integrity. It would also improve interpretation and the ability of the visitor to experience a "sense of place" within the battlefield. This would be accomplished by protection of more resources through boundary expansion and land acquisition, new exhibits in the visitor center, establishment of a new automobile tour route within the expanded boundary, and new interpretive wayside exhibits. Alternative 2 would improve interpretation and the visitor experience within the authorized boundary of the park. This would be accomplished by providing new exhibits in the visitor center, establishing a new automobile tour route within the park, and providing new wayside exhibits. There would be no change in the authorized boundary. Alternative 3 (continuation of existing conditions) would represent no significant change in interpretation and the way the park is being managed, and no change in the authorized park boundary. Under all alternatives, there would be an emphasis on working with local agencies, groups, and landowners to preserve and protect lands that retain historic landscape integrity within the